

Energy & Store  
Development  
Conference

E+Sc<sup>2011</sup>



# California 2013 Title 24 Supermarket Refrigeration

**Presented by  
Doug Scott  
VaCom Technologies**





## Topics

- Background and current practice
- Proposed Title 24 requirements
- Measure savings and economics



## Background

- California building energy efficiency code (Title 24) reviewed periodically.
  - Current 2008 code– effective 1/1/2010
  - Proposed 2013 revision– to be effective 1/1/2014
- California Energy Commission (CEC) and California Air Resources Board (CARB) cooperated to evaluate supermarket refrigeration measures
  - CEC addressed energy savings
  - CARB addressed emissions and leak reduction measures
- First time Title 24 has included direct GHG emissions, using total CO<sub>2</sub> valuation





## Objectives and Process

- Achieve significant energy savings through the development of reasonable, responsible, and cost-effective code change proposals for the 2013 code update and beyond
- Investor owned utilities (IOUs) completed initial process for CEC, including stakeholder meetings held to obtain industry input and feedback on code change proposals
- CEC holds public meetings to review and finalize code proposals



## Title 24 Code Change Activities

- 2013 Base Code (Part 6 of Title 24)
- 2013 Reach Standard (Part 11 of Title 24)
  - Green Building Standard – i.e. CalGreen
- Identify topics for future codes
  - 2016 Title 24
  - Future Reach Standards



## Types of Code Requirements

- **Mandatory Measures:**
  - All proposed supermarket refrigeration measures are mandatory measures
- **Prescriptive Measures:** N/A
- **Performance Compliance Option:** N/A
- *CEC would like a performance option for the 2016 code cycle, requiring:*
  - *Modeling software to define/apply energy budget*
  - *Equipment performance data*



## Requirements for Base Code Measures

- A measure must be cost-effective:
  - Based on the standards-induced additional first cost, maintenance costs, measure life, and energy cost savings, according to the CEC Time Dependent Valuation (TDV) life-cycle costing methodology and weather data
- A measure must be possible to implement:
  - Using equipment that is available from multiple providers, or that is reasonably expected to be available following the code change





## Previous Process and Future Dates

- Stakeholder meetings:
  - Meeting at CARB April, 2010
  - Three Codes and Standards Program meetings including FMI in Minneapolis September 2010
  - CEC public workshop April 2011
- CEC opens Rulemaking: September 2011
- Title 24 CEC Adoption: March 2012
- CBSC Publication: July 2013
- Title 24 Implementation: Jan 1, 2014



## Current Code Requirements

- Title 20 (CA Appliance Standard) and Federal walk-in requirements:
  - ECM motors
  - Insulation levels, strip curtains, lighting
  - Door heater wattage
- Display cases:
  - 2012 Federal remote display case regulations expressed in daily energy use (kWh/day)
- No existing Title 24 supermarket refrigeration requirements



## Current Code Requirements

- Refrigerated Warehouse 2008 Title 24 requirements – similarities and differences:
  - Floating head pressure to 70 F with variable speed fan and variable setpoint logic
    - Same as proposed for supermarkets
  - Evaporator fan variable speed control
    - Proposed and evaluated for supermarkets but deferred
  - Condenser sizing requirements
    - In contrast, supermarket standard addressed specific efficiency in lieu of condenser sizing





## Typical Supermarket Refrig. Practice

- Typical new construction practice – common measures from 2001-2010 Savings By Design IOU incentive programs:
  - Floating head pressure to 70 F
  - Floating suction pressure control
  - Subcooling (at least on LT)
  - Variable speed condenser control (nearly 100% on evap condensers and ~50% on air-cooled)
  - History of condenser specific efficiencies



## Analysis Methodology

- Energy analysis using DOE2.2R simulation
- Base case via Savings By Design experience
- Time dependent valuation (TDV)
  - Energy valuation based on time of day
- 15 years life for all refrigeration measures
- Measures evaluated with Benefit/Cost Ratio
  - Total life-cycle TDV value / incremental cost plus discounted maintenance or replacement costs
  - BCR is primary determinant of cost effectiveness



# Analysis Methodology

Year	Base Case Carbon Forecast (\$/ton CO <sub>2</sub> eq)
2011	\$ 13.98
2012	15.37
2013	16.89
2014	19.87
2015	22.85
2016	26.05
2017	29.26
2018	32.70
2019	36.14
2020	39.84
2021	43.67
2022	47.51
2023	51.62
2024	55.73
2025	60.13

- CEC developed economic value of direct and indirect carbon equivalent emissions
- Used to evaluate cost of direct HFC emissions for certain measures





## Simulation Tool

- DOE 2.2R whole building hourly simulation
  - Fixtures loads disaggregated, balance space interactions (fixture, HVAC, building, etc.)
  - Mass-flow/component based refrigeration system modeling, explicit control strategies
  - Modeling of building envelope, HVAC, lighting, skylights, etc.



## Base Case Assumptions

- Title 24 compliant building
  - Insulation, lighting power density, HVAC systems
  - Code level skylights and light level control
- Display cases
  - T-8 lights, EC motors, low watt glass door heaters
- Walk-ins
  - Federal Walk-in standard compliant
- Refrigeration systems
  - Partial floating head pressure, fixed suction, no subcooling
- Schedules: operations, occupancy, lighting, etc.



# Store and System Analysis Types

Supermarket Prototype	Condenser Type	Compressor System	Designation
Small Supermarket (10,000 SF)	Air-cooled	Central	SAC
		Distributed	SAD
	Evaporative-cooled	Central	SEC
	Fluid cooler	Central	SFC
		Distributed	SFD
Large Supermarket (60,000 SF)	Air-cooled	Central	MAC
		Distributed	MAD
	Evaporative-cooled	Central	MEC
	Fluid cooler	Central	MFC
		Distributed	MFD
Big Box Store (150,000 SF)	Air-cooled	Central	LAC
		Distributed	LAD
	Evaporative-cooled	Central	LEC
	Fluid cooler	Central	LFC
		Distributed	LFD





## Title 24 Base Code Measures

- Floating head pressure
- Remote condenser specific efficiency
- Floating suction pressure
- Mechanical subcooling
- Display case lighting control
- Prohibit open upright low temperature cases
- Heat recovery for space heating



## Reach Code Measure

- CO<sub>2</sub> secondary (indirect) or cascade cooling



## Proposed Code Language

- Primary source: April 2011 Draft CASE (Codes and Standards Enhancement) Report plus, subsequent changes by CEC based on stakeholder input
- Black text in following slides is based on proposed code language

*Information is proposed not final and  
is subject to change*



# Applicability

Retail food stores with **8,000 square feet** or more of conditioned area or more, and that utilize either refrigerated display cases, or walk-in coolers or freezers connected to **remote** compressor units or condensing units, shall meet the requirements of this section.

- **New construction:**
  - Includes remodels and expansions with certain exceptions





## Definitions

- **BUBBLE POINT** is the refrigerant liquid saturation temperature at a specified pressure.
- **DEW POINT** is the refrigerant vapor saturation temperature at a specified pressure.
- **COOLER** is space greater than or equal to 28 F but less than 55 F.
- **FREEZER** is space designed to maintain less than 28 F and space designed for convertible between cooler and freezer operation.



## Definitions

- **SATURATED CONDENSING TEMPERATURE (CONDENSING TEMPERATURE)** is the saturation temperature corresponding to the refrigerant pressure at the condenser entrance for single component and azeotropic refrigerants. For zeotropic refrigerants, the arithmetic **average of the Dew Point and Bubble Point** temperatures corresponding to the refrigerant pressure at the condenser entrance.



## Definitions

- **CONDENSER SPECIFIC EFFICIENCY** is the Total Heat of Rejection (THR) capacity divided by the fan input electric power at 100% fan speed (including spray pump electric input power for evaporative condensers).



## Definitions

- **MICRO-CHANNEL CONDENSER** is an air-cooled condenser for refrigeration systems which utilizes multiple small parallel gas flow passages in a flat configuration with unitized fin surface between the gas passages, rather than round tubes arranged at a right angle to separate plate fins.
- **TOTAL HEAT OF REJECTION (THR)** is the heat absorbed at the evaporator plus the heat picked up in the suction line plus the heat added to the refrigerant in the compressor.





## Floating Head Pressure

- All condenser fans for air-cooled condensers, evaporative-cooled condensers, air- or water-cooled fluid coolers or cooling towers shall be continuously **variable speed**, with the speed of **all fans** serving a common condenser high side **controlled in unison**.



## Floating Head Pressure

- The refrigeration system condenser controls for systems with air-cooled condensers shall use **variable-setpoint control** logic to reset the condensing temperature setpoint in response to ambient drybulb temperature.
  - EXCEPTION: Condensing temperature control strategies approved by the Executive Director that have been demonstrated to provide equal energy savings
- The minimum condensing temperature setpoint shall be **less than or equal to 70°F**.

# Floating Head Pressure

	Energy Savings (kWh)	Energy Savings/ SF (kWh)	TDV Cost Savings (\$)	TDV Cost Savings /SF (\$)	Measure Cost (\$)	Benefit/ Cost Ratio
SXX Average	25,989	1.64	\$49,532	\$3.13	\$13,923	3.56
MXX Average	94,194	1.58	\$162,842	\$2.73	\$35,251	4.62
LXX Average	121,870	0.75	\$212,155	\$1.31	\$39,436	5.38
XAX Average	124,636	2.08	\$222,211	\$3.80	\$33,055	6.72
XEX Average	50,565	0.76	\$97,711	\$1.60	\$27,191	3.59
AFX Average	51,792	0.85	\$82,707	\$1.38	\$27,191	3.04

All Average						
CTZ01 - Arcata	91,871	1.49	\$165,461	\$2.700	\$29,537	5.60
CTZ03 - Oakland	81,873	1.34	\$148,540	\$2.441	\$29,537	5.03
CTZ05 - Santa Maria	84,642	1.39	\$153,901	\$2.532	\$29,537	5.21
CTZ07 - San Diego-Lindbergh	68,631	1.16	\$130,996	\$2.208	\$29,537	4.43
CTZ08 - Fullerton	75,743	1.27	\$150,307	\$3.129	\$29,537	5.09
CTZ10 - Riverside	79,688	1.31	\$131,868	\$2.165	\$29,537	4.46
CTZ12 - Sacramento	83,625	1.37	\$139,958	\$2.294	\$29,537	4.74
CTZ13 - Fresno	80,300	1.32	\$133,652	\$2.194	\$29,537	4.52
CTZ14 - Palmdale	90,771	1.47	\$146,744	\$2.382	\$29,537	4.97
CTZ15 - Palm Springs	69,697	1.13	\$113,670	\$1.848	\$29,537	3.85



## FHP – WBT Sensor Error Evaluation

- Investigated sensitivity of sensor error on evaporative condensers.
  - Concern was drift of RH sensor reading used for wetbulb temperature calculation.
- Analysis determined that evaporative condenser ambient-following control is cost-effective even with significant sensor error.





## FHP – Charge Impact Evaluation

- Evaluated potential charge impacts
  - FHP methods could increase charge and/or increase potential for leakage
- Analysis determined that energy savings far outweigh potential direct GHG increase



## Condenser Specific Efficiency

- Fan-powered condensers shall meet the (following) specific efficiency requirements:

Condenser Type	Minimum Specific Efficiency	Rating Condition
Evaporative-Cooled	160 (Btu/h)/W	100°F Saturated Condensing Temperature (SCT), 70°F Entering Wetbulb Temperature
Air-Cooled	65 (Btu/h)/W	105°F Saturated Condensing Temperature (SCT), 95°F Entering Drybulb Temperature



## Condenser Specific Efficiency

- **EXCEPTION 1:** Condensers with a THR capacity of less than 150 MBH at the specific efficiency rating condition.
  - **EXCEPTION 2:** Stores located in Climate Zone CTZ01.
  - **EXCEPTION 3:** Existing condensers that are reused for an expansion or remodel.
- 
- Air-cooled condensers shall have a fin density no greater than 10 fins per inch.
    - **EXCEPTION 1:** Micro-channel condensers.
    - **EXCEPTION 2:** Existing condensers that are reused for an expansion or remodel.

# Condenser Specific Efficiency

	Energy Savings (kWh)	Energy Savings/ SF (kWh)	TDV Cost Savings (\$)	TDV Cost Savings /SF (\$)	Measure Cost (\$)	Benefit/ Cost Ratio
SXX Average	2,037	0.10	\$5,038	\$0.30	\$1,656	5.41
MXX Average	6,449	0.11	\$18,003	\$0.30	\$3,599	8.41
LXX Average	6,184	0.04	\$19,679	\$0.13	\$5,696	4.46
XAX Average	5,755	0.10	\$17,419	\$0.29	\$5,526	3.52
XEX Average	1,867	0.03	\$3,471	\$0.06	\$795	13.13

All Average						
CTZ01 - Arcata	1,507	0.03	\$3,353	\$0.062	\$3,571	3.72
CTZ03 - Oakland	2,181	0.04	\$5,533	\$0.102	\$3,571	4.29
CTZ05 - Santa Maria	2,438	0.04	\$4,569	\$0.084	\$3,571	4.01
CTZ07 - San Diego-Lindbergh	2,937	0.05	\$6,586	\$0.121	\$3,571	4.65
CTZ08 - Fullerton	3,268	0.06	\$10,472	\$0.185	\$3,901	5.21
CTZ10 - Riverside	5,353	0.09	\$19,110	\$0.323	\$3,901	6.94
CTZ12 - Sacramento	4,540	0.08	\$17,493	\$0.295	\$3,901	6.55
CTZ13 - Fresno	6,692	0.11	\$21,812	\$0.364	\$3,901	7.47
CTZ14 - Palmdale	6,629	0.11	\$21,694	\$0.362	\$3,901	7.15
CTZ15 - Palm Springs	13,409	0.23	\$33,395	\$0.555	\$3,901	10.32





## Condenser Specific Efficiency

- Required specific efficiency are only slightly higher than the incentive program base case efficiencies since 2002.
  - 160 vs. 140 for evaporative condensers
  - 65 vs. 53 for air cooled condensers
- Considerations:
  - Catalog capacities are not certified ratings
  - Motor ratings are nameplate ratings and applied power could be higher or lower
  - First generation condensers with EC motors had low efficiencies—better options appear to be coming to market



## Floating Suction Pressure

- Compressors and multiple-compressor suction groups shall include control systems that use **floating suction pressure** logic to reset the target saturated suction temperature based on the temperature requirements of the attached refrigeration display cases or walk-ins.
  - **EXCEPTION 1:** Single compressor systems that do not have continuously variable capacity capability.
  - **EXCEPTION 2:** Suction groups that have a design saturated suction temperature of 30°F or higher, or suction groups that comprise the high stage of a two-stage or cascade system or that primarily serve chillers for secondary cooling fluids.

# Floating Suction Pressure

	Energy Savings (kWh)	Energy Savings/ SF (kWh)	TDV Cost Savings (\$)	TDV Cost Savings /SF (\$)	Measure Cost (\$)	Benefit/ Cost Ratio
SXX Average	8,428	0.53	\$16,508	\$1.04	\$5,075	3.25
MXX Average	33,799	0.57	\$65,475	\$1.10	\$10,149	6.45
LXX Average	50,213	0.31	\$98,996	\$0.61	\$10,149	9.75
XAX Average	30,047	0.46	\$60,311	\$0.93	\$8,458	7.13
XEX Average	26,407	0.39	\$50,531	\$0.75	\$8,458	5.97
AFX Average	33,782	0.51	\$65,240	\$0.99	\$8,458	7.71

All Average						
CTZ01 - Arcata	28,549	0.44	\$54,689	\$0.841	\$8,458	6.47
CTZ03 - Oakland	29,510	0.45	\$57,071	\$0.874	\$8,458	6.75
CTZ05 - Santa Maria	29,299	0.45	\$56,655	\$0.868	\$8,458	6.70
CTZ07 - San Diego-Lindbergh	29,996	0.46	\$58,013	\$0.891	\$8,458	6.86
CTZ08 - Fullerton	30,339	0.46	\$58,700	\$0.889	\$8,458	6.94
CTZ10 - Riverside	31,196	0.47	\$61,242	\$0.926	\$8,458	7.24
CTZ12 - Sacramento	30,864	0.47	\$61,459	\$0.932	\$8,458	7.27
CTZ13 - Fresno	31,935	0.49	\$63,559	\$0.963	\$8,458	7.51
CTZ14 - Palmdale	31,286	0.47	\$61,882	\$0.932	\$8,458	7.32
CTZ15 - Palm Springs	35,156	0.53	\$69,995	\$1.055	\$8,458	8.28



## Floating Suction Pressure

- Standard practice in most stores
  - FSP logic standard in rack controllers
  - Temperature sensors in cases and walk-ins (needed for FSP) are standard practice
- Requires coordination with other controls such as electronic suction regulators
- Measure cost is primarily labor to program, fine-tune and maintain



## Mechanical Subcooling

- Liquid subcooling shall be provided for all low temperature parallel compressor systems with a design saturated suction temperature of  $-10^{\circ}\text{F}$  or lower, with the subcooled liquid temperature maintained continuously at  $50^{\circ}\text{F}$  or less at the subcooler exit, using compressor economizer port(s) or a separate parallel medium or high temperature suction group operating at a saturated suction temperature of  $18^{\circ}\text{F}$  or higher.





## Mechanical Subcooling

- **EXCEPTION 1:** Single compressor systems.
- **EXCEPTION 2:** Low temperature cascade systems that condense into another refrigeration system rather than condensing to ambient temperature.
- **EXCEPTION 3:** Existing compressors that are reused for an expansion or remodel.

# Mechanical Subcooling

	Energy Savings (kWh)	Energy Savings/ SF (kWh)	TDV Cost Savings (\$)	TDV Cost Savings /SF (\$)	Measure Cost (\$)	Benefit/ Cost Ratio
SXX Average	9,012	0.57	\$18,543	\$1.17	\$4,475	4.14
MXX Average	25,483	0.43	\$53,461	\$0.90	\$7,973	6.71
LXX Average	65,849	0.41	\$137,909	\$0.85	\$14,221	9.70
XAX Average	26,748	0.37	\$64,115	\$0.87	\$8,694	7.37
XEX Average	26,739	0.37	\$51,989	\$0.71	\$9,673	5.37
AFX Average	43,502	0.62	\$84,818	\$1.20	\$8,694	9.76

All Average						
CTZ01 - Arcata	28,837	0.41	\$54,668	\$0.772	\$8,890	6.15
CTZ03 - Oakland	29,735	0.42	\$58,467	\$0.815	\$8,890	6.58
CTZ05 - Santa Maria	29,532	0.42	\$57,315	\$0.800	\$8,890	6.45
CTZ07 - San Diego-Lindbergh	31,193	0.44	\$62,173	\$0.866	\$8,890	6.99
CTZ08 - Fullerton	32,359	0.46	\$66,352	\$0.946	\$8,890	7.46
CTZ10 - Riverside	34,136	0.48	\$74,327	\$1.025	\$8,890	8.36
CTZ12 - Sacramento	33,135	0.46	\$72,075	\$0.996	\$8,890	8.11
CTZ13 - Fresno	35,542	0.49	\$77,962	\$1.076	\$8,890	8.77
CTZ14 - Palmdale	34,923	0.48	\$75,368	\$1.036	\$8,890	8.48
CTZ15 - Palm Springs	45,087	0.62	\$101,004	\$1.397	\$8,890	11.36



## Display Case Lighting Control

- Lighting in refrigeration display cases, and lights on glass doors installed on walk-in coolers and freezers shall be controlled by either A or B:
  - A. Automatic time switch controls to turn off lights during non-business hours. Use of timed overrides to turn the lights for stocking shall not exceed one hour for any case line-up or walk-in and if manually imitated shall time-out automatically.
  - B. Motion sensor controls on each case that reduce display case lighting power by at least 50% within 30 minutes after the area near the case is vacated.
- **EXCEPTION 1:** Stores which are normally open for business 140 hours or more per week.

# Display Case Lighting Control

	Energy Savings (kWh)	Energy Savings/ SF (kWh)	TDV Cost Savings (\$)	TDV Cost Savings /SF (\$)	Measure Cost (\$)	Benefit/ Cost Ratio
SXX Average	49,627	3.13	\$69,134	\$4.36	\$5,588	12.37
MXX Average	149,814	2.52	\$219,138	\$3.68	\$11,321	19.36
LXX Average	173,263	1.07	\$265,992	\$1.64	\$12,659	21.01
XAX Average	122,362	2.21	\$181,772	\$3.18	\$9,856	18.44
XEX Average	121,355	2.19	\$180,092	\$3.15	\$9,856	18.27
AFX Average	127,547	2.29	\$190,068	\$3.31	\$9,856	19.28

All Average						
CTZ01 - Arcata	121,760	2.20	\$182,231	\$3.189	\$9,856	18.49
CTZ03 - Oakland	122,526	2.21	\$181,454	\$3.173	\$9,856	18.41
CTZ05 - Santa Maria	122,016	2.20	\$182,338	\$3.183	\$9,856	18.50
CTZ07 - San Diego-Lindbergh	125,402	2.26	\$189,148	\$3.292	\$9,856	19.19
CTZ08 - Fullerton	124,593	2.24	\$184,423	\$3.209	\$9,856	18.71
CTZ10 - Riverside	124,596	2.24	\$182,220	\$3.174	\$9,856	18.49
CTZ12 - Sacramento	123,529	2.22	\$184,090	\$3.210	\$9,856	18.68
CTZ13 - Fresno	125,199	2.26	\$187,412	\$3.293	\$9,856	19.01
CTZ14 - Palmdale	124,213	2.24	\$182,442	\$3.195	\$9,856	18.51
CTZ15 - Palm Springs	128,513	2.31	\$191,788	\$3.356	\$9,856	19.46



## Prohibit Open Upright Frozen Food Cases

- Upright low temperature display cases that are designed for a supply air temperature of 5°F or lower shall utilize reach-in glass doors.
- No incremental capital cost increase
- Energy impact: 12 ft. open case vs. 5 doors
  - 10,000 kWh annual savings





## Heat Recovery for Space Heating

- HVAC systems shall utilize heat recovery from refrigeration system(s) for space heating, using no less than **25% of the sum of the design Total Heat of Rejection** of all refrigeration systems that have individual Total Heat of Rejection values of **150,000 BTU/Hr** or greater at design conditions.
  - **EXCEPTION 1:** Stores located in Climate Zone CTZ15.
  - **EXCEPTION 2:** HVAC systems that are reused for an expansion or remodel.



## Heat Recovery for Space Heating

- The increase in HFC refrigerant charge associated with refrigeration heat recovery equipment and piping shall be no greater than 0.35 lbs per 1,000 BTU/Hr of heat recovery heating capacity.

# Heat Recovery for Space Heating

	Energy Savings (kWh)	Energy Savings/ SF (kWh)	Natural Gas Savings (Therms)	Natural Gas Savings /SF (Therms)	TDV Cost Savings (\$)	TDV Cost Savings /SF (\$)	Measure Cost (\$)	Benefit/ Cost Ratio
SXX Average	-15,885	-1.00	7,573	0.48	\$126,510	\$7.98	\$21,396	5.91
MXX Average	-37,045	-0.62	26,572	0.45	\$478,112	\$8.03	\$69,949	6.84
LXX Average	-94,772	-0.58	35,118	0.22	\$540,915	\$3.34	\$88,378	6.12
XAX Average	-70,370	-1.10	23,006	0.38	\$336,229	\$5.68	\$60,813	5.53
XEX Average	-53,986	-0.80	23,061	0.38	\$371,734	\$6.31	\$57,021	6.52
XFX Average	-25,722	-0.34	23,183	0.38	\$432,518	\$7.29	\$60,446	7.16

All Average								
CTZ01 - Arcata	-53,400	-0.78	43,977	0.69	\$771,752	\$12.350	\$59,908	12.88
CTZ03 - Oakland	-48,367	-0.70	31,436	0.50	\$545,607	\$8.881	\$59,908	9.11
CTZ05 - Santa Maria	-49,166	-0.71	33,001	0.53	\$568,282	\$9.204	\$59,908	9.49
CTZ07 - San Diego-Lindbergh	-41,375	-0.59	17,696	0.31	\$284,389	\$5.273	\$59,908	4.75
CTZ08 - Fullerton	-46,948	-0.73	16,646	0.32	\$256,694	\$5.284	\$59,908	4.28
CTZ10 - Riverside	-49,868	-0.75	16,704	0.28	\$257,436	\$4.394	\$59,908	4.30
CTZ12 - Sacramento	-53,112	-0.80	23,756	0.39	\$399,091	\$6.596	\$59,908	6.66
CTZ13 - Fresno	-50,693	-0.77	19,960	0.33	\$331,549	\$5.523	\$59,908	5.53
CTZ14 - Palmdale	-56,213	-0.86	21,598	0.35	\$358,692	\$5.910	\$59,908	5.99
CTZ15 - Palm Springs	-43,199	-0.67	6,096	0.11	\$44,962	\$1.073	\$59,908	0.75

# Heat Recovery – Charge Impacts

	Measure Cost (\$)	Refrigerant Cost Savings Range (\$)		TDV Energy Cost Savings (\$)	Carbon Cost Savings Range (\$)		Net Savings (\$)	
		High	Low		High	Low	High	Low
SXX Average	\$21,396	-\$2,414	-\$3,956	\$126,510	\$1,233	-\$9,435	\$103,934	\$91,722
MXX Average	\$69,949	-\$12,144	-\$19,905	\$478,112	-\$17,192	-\$70,876	\$378,828	\$317,383
LXX Average	\$88,378	-\$14,315	-\$23,465	\$540,915	-\$24,105	-\$89,836	\$414,117	\$339,236
XAX Average	\$60,813	-\$9,922	-\$16,124	\$336,229	-\$20,096	-\$63,813	\$245,397	\$195,479
XEX Average	\$57,021	-\$16,357	-\$27,262	\$371,734	-\$61,883	-\$138,755	\$236,473	\$148,697
AFX Average	\$60,446	-\$5,959	-\$9,683	\$432,518	\$17,653	-\$8,599	\$383,766	\$353,790

All Average								
CTZ01 - Arcata	\$59,908	-\$9,624	-\$15,775	\$771,752	\$44,374	\$1,013	\$746,594	\$697,082
CTZ03 - Oakland	\$59,908	-\$9,624	-\$15,775	\$545,607	\$10,245	-\$33,117	\$486,320	\$436,807
CTZ05 - Santa Maria	\$59,908	-\$9,624	-\$15,775	\$568,282	\$14,468	-\$28,893	\$513,219	\$463,706
CTZ07 - San Diego-Lindbergh	\$59,908	-\$9,624	-\$15,775	\$284,389	-\$26,838	-\$70,200	\$188,019	\$138,507
CTZ08 - Fullerton	\$59,908	-\$9,624	-\$15,775	\$256,694	-\$30,944	-\$74,305	\$156,219	\$106,706
CTZ10 - Riverside	\$59,908	-\$9,624	-\$15,775	\$257,436	-\$31,391	-\$74,753	\$156,513	\$107,001
CTZ12 - Sacramento	\$59,908	-\$9,624	-\$15,775	\$399,091	-\$12,284	-\$55,646	\$317,275	\$267,762
CTZ13 - Fresno	\$59,908	-\$9,624	-\$15,775	\$331,549	-\$22,429	-\$65,790	\$239,589	\$190,076
CTZ14 - Palmdale	\$59,908	-\$9,624	-\$15,775	\$358,692	-\$18,987	-\$62,349	\$270,173	\$220,661
CTZ15 - Palm Springs	\$59,908	-\$9,624	-\$15,775	\$44,962	-\$59,755	-\$103,117	-\$84,324	-\$133,837



## Heat Recovery for Space Heating

- Analysis based on full heat recovery
- Code requirement is only 25% of design THR to allow for many combinations of:
  - Refrigeration systems types
  - HVAC system types and configurations
  - Store sizes and layouts
  - New construction project types





## CO<sub>2</sub> Secondary or Cascade Cooling

- Title 24 Part 11 Green Building Standards
  - Voluntary or “Reach” measure: easily adopted, standardized approach for jurisdictions wishing to implement a more stringent code
- Benefit is reduced direct GHG emissions
  - Measure with equal or slightly higher energy use, justified on lower total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.
- Energy impacts neutral or negative
  - CO<sub>2</sub> indirect approximately equal to DX
  - CO<sub>2</sub> cascade slightly higher than CO<sub>2</sub> indirect
  - Glycol increases energy usage significantly



## CO<sub>2</sub> Secondary or Cascade Cooling

- Cooling for all refrigerated display cases and walk-in coolers and freezers shall be provided using carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), connected to compressors as a direct expansion refrigerant, or as a phase-change indirect cooling fluid.
  - **EXCEPTION 1:** Stores with less than 20,000 square feet of sales area.
  - **EXCEPTION 2:** Existing compressor systems that are reused for an expansion or remodel.



# CO<sup>2</sup> Secondary or Cascade Cooling

- **EXCEPTION 3:** For the medium temperature display cases and coolers use of indirect **glycol** cooling including the following:

Recent proposed language to allow glycol with provisions to achieve minimum energy penalty.

Still being studied.

- Stores with a total medium temperature fixtures and walk-in cooling load of **360,000 BTU/Hr or greater** shall have at least one glycol chiller designed with a glycol supply temperature **no lower than 25°F**.
- Glycol supply **pump(s) equipped with variable speed** drives controlled based on glycol loop pressure differential and with two-way (no bypass) type control valves at cooling coils and display cases.
- Variable **speed control on walk-in cooling coil fans**, utilizing speed control as primary temperature control before cycling glycol supply valves, with minimum fan speed no greater than 70%.



## CO<sup>2</sup> Secondary or Cascade Cooling

Recent  
proposed  
language  
to allow  
low GWP  
option  
(e.g. HFO)  
when  
available

- **EXCEPTION 4:** Direct expansion systems using a Low-GWP refrigerant.
- **LOW-GWP REFRIGERANT** means a compound used as a heat transfer fluid or gas that is: (A) any compound or blend of compounds, with a **GWP Value less than 150**; and (B) U.S. EPA Significant New Alternatives Policy (SNAP)-approved; and (C) not an ozone depleting substance as defined in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 82, §82.3 (as amended March 10, 2009).
- **EXCEPTION 5:** Self-contained refrigerated display cases.



## Acceptance Testing

- Acceptance testing of control-related measures will be required as part of code compliance.
- Acceptance testing procedures will be developed once measures are adopted.
- Will contact chains to assist in “dry run” of acceptance testing procedures.





## Rejected or Deferred Measures

- Evaporator coil specific efficiency
  - Large potential but too complex
  - Issue of no standard ratings or certification
- Display case LED lights
  - Federal preemption
- Display case night curtains
  - Not cost-effective



## Rejected or Deferred Measures

- Prohibit hot gas defrost (reduced leakage)
  - Results too uncertain
- Walk-in variable speed fan control
  - Large potential but cost and performance concerns were not resolved
- Liquid-suction heat exchangers
  - Large potential savings but leakage concern was not resolved



## QUESTIONS

**Information:**

[www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2013standards/prerulemaking](http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2013standards/prerulemaking)

[www.calcodesgroup.com](http://www.calcodesgroup.com)

[www.h-m-g.com/T24/supermarket%20refrig/supermarketrefrig.htm](http://www.h-m-g.com/T24/supermarket%20refrig/supermarketrefrig.htm)

**Martha Brook email:** [mbrook@energy.state.ca.us](mailto:mbrook@energy.state.ca.us)

**Doug Scott email:** [dscott@vacomtech.com](mailto:dscott@vacomtech.com)